



## Comparison of GreenGlass and Gold Rush

Prepared by Matthew Revitt (EAST), March 2020 & updated December 2020

Function	GreenGlass	Gold Rush	Notes
<b>Validating and assigning OCLC numbers, identifying problematic records</b>	X		GreenGlass vets records on load, Gold Rush does not.
<b>Compare overlap with other libraries in group</b>	X - overlap based on OCLC number	X - overlap based on match key	Both tools allow libraries to run comparisons between their holdings and other members of the group.
<b>Compare non-member holdings overlap with other libraries in group</b>	X	X	Both tools allow libraries to determine titles unique to the library and level of overlap with EAST retentions.
<b>Provide WorldCat overlap data, including US &amp; State</b>	X		OCLC holdings data is not included in Gold Rush, so there is no ability in Gold Rush to factor in holdings levels into retention rules. Being a part of OCLC has greatly benefited SCS in this sense.
<b>Incorporate local usage &amp; circulation data</b>	X	X	Both tools allow for loading local usage data and factoring into retention rules. But only GreenGlass allows for comparing aggregate usage data across a group of libraries.
<b>Incorporate comparator groups</b>	X	X	GreenGlass allows for up to 6 comparator groups, based on OCLC WorldCat and GreenGlass project data. Gold Rush

			comparator groups are limited to groups that also use Gold Rush.
<b>Use specific criteria to filter titles (e.g. publication date, LC call number, and language)</b>	X	X	
<b>Assign special categories</b>	X		There's no ability in Gold Rush to set a "special category" flag for titles that should be handled differently in retention rules e.g. local state publications or ephemera type material.
<b>Build a multi-layered retention model</b>	X		Gold Rush does not have the ability to develop a retention model using the same level of detailed logic as GreenGlass. However, it is possible to develop independent models and combine them.
<b>Allocate retention responsibility across group</b>	X		The Colorado Alliance of Research Libraries does not have a method of allocating retention responsibility to libraries, responsibility of shared print programs.
<b>Ability to refresh collections data</b>	\$	X	Both tools allow for collection data to be refreshed. But while OCLC charges a fee, Gold Rush members simply ftp updated or new data at any time, which is picked up and processed in the monthly indexing jobs.